

## **INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Applicant sincerely thanks Examiner Chauhan for conducting a telephonic interview with Applicant's representative, David W. Foster on November 5, 2007.

During the interview, Applicant's representative submitted differences between the claimed subject matter and the combination of U.S. Patent No. 5,862,381 to Advani (hereinafter "Advani") and U.S. Patent No. 6,864,893 to Zatz. Nevertheless, in the interest of expediting allowance of the application and without conceding the propriety of the Office's rejections, Applicant's representative proposed to amend independent claim 1 to further highlight features of Applicant's claims. Specifically, Applicant's representative proposed amending independent claim 1 to recite features discussed during the interview. Applicant's representatives understood the Examiner to agree that the interview would advance prosecution, however no specific agreement was made. Applicant's representatives further understood the Examiner to agree that amendments elucidating the structure of the invention would obviate the grounds for the 112 rejection. Finally, Applicant's representative understood the Examiner to agree that upon review of this response and updating of his new search, should there be any reason the case is not in condition for allowance, the Examiner would call Applicant's representative to further advance prosecution. Examiner Chauhan also indicated that he would update his search.

Accordingly, independent claim 1 is amended herein, as discussed during the interview.